UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,384 07/14/2003		M. Scott Corson	060556	5434
	7590 06/23/200 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	RUTKOWSKI, JEFFREY M		
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/619,384	CORSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI	2416	

	JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit Il (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 nsion and the corresponding amount o ortened statutory period for reply origin	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	sideration and/or search (see NOT);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 			,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-14,18-21,25,30,33,35,39,42 and 44 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ded below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but on <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P13. ☐ Other:	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments with respect to the generation of a list of network nodes in the end node and all the claimed limitations being performed by the end node are not persuasive. The claims do not require the list to be generated by the end node. The claims only require the list be generated, but do not require a particular node generate the list. The only limitation that is required to be performed by the end node is the fault response operation. Khalil's HA generates the list of network nodes and distributes the list of network nodes to control the routing operations of the end nodes, see col. 5 lines 42-47. The Examiner agrees with the Applicant as far as was was taught by Khalil and would agree that if the claim required the list of network nodes be generated by the end node, the claimed invention would be different from Khalil.

The arguments with respect to Lehtovirta not disclosing the determination of a fault response from information stored at the end node are not persuasive. From a reading of Lehtovirta, the failing node notifies the UEs of a failure. The UEs then determine a fault response operation (release some or all RABs while maintaining other RABs) based on the failure message that is stored in an end nodes memory, see paragraphs 0044-0045. The claims do not require the end node to determine the type of fault. The claims only require the end nodes to determine the fault response operation to be performed.